





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/386,000	08/30/1999	KENICHI MIYAZAKI	Q55595	9906	
7	590 08/13/2002				
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 200373202			EXAMINER		
			MACKEY, PATRICK HEWEY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3651		
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				121			
y v	Application No	A	pplicant(s)				
	09/386,000	M	IYAZAKI, KENICHI	" "			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Α	rt Unit				
	Patrick H. Mack		551				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cove	r sheet with the corr	espondence addres	S 1			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, how eply within the statutory mi d will apply and will expire ute, cause the application	ever, may a reply be timely nimum of thirty (30) days wil SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED (3	filed ' Il be considered timely. mailing date of this commu 35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	8 June 2002 .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ -	This action is non-	inal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-6,13 and 15-18 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown		ration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16 and 18</u> is/are allowed.	,						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,6,13,15 and 17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to.							
•	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
application from the International E	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	I) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· -	Interview Summary (P Notice of Informal Pate Other:	TO-413) Paper No(s) ent Application (PTO-15				

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The amendment filed 6/18/2002 has been entered.
- 2. The indicated allowability of claims 1, 6, and 5 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Yamada et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1, 5, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. Yamada discloses an image forming apparatus that includes at least one roll of paper (102) and one sheet of carton (101), a printing unit (2), and a discharged paper stacking unit (301)

Art Unit: 3651

below the printing unit and in a straight line via a paper path from the feeding unit through the printing unit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McCulley in view of Smedal. McCulley discloses a paper roll attachment for a type writer that includes a sheet feeding area and a plurality of paper rolls arranged obliquely to each other, a printer (D), and a paper discharge area (generally at 19). McCulley discloses all the limitations of the claims, but it does not disclose a sheet feeding area positioned at a height at which a user, who is approximately 170 cm tall, can set up a printing medium without having to bend substantially at the waist when the user is standing erect in front of the printer and standing substantially at ground level, when the printer is placed substantially at ground level. However, Smedal discloses a roll attachment for type writers that includes a frame (5) with a vertical leg (3) adapted to be supported at any elevation (see page 1, lines 85-90), including a height at which a user, who is approximately 170 cm tall, can set up a printing medium without having to bend substantially at the waist when the user is standing erect in front of the printer and standing substantially at ground level, when the printer is placed substantially at ground level, for the purpose of adapting the attachment to any type writing machine (see page 1, lines 15-30). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's

Art Unit: 3651

invention to modify McCulley by utilizing a frame with a vertical leg adapted to be supported at any elevation, including a height at which a user, who is approximately 170 cm tall, can set up a printing medium without having to bend substantially at the waist when the user is standing erect in front of the printer and standing substantially at ground level, when the printer is placed substantially at ground level, for the purpose of adapting the attachment to any type writing machine.

7. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hageman in view of Metzner. Hageman discloses a sheet feeding area which includes a roll of paper (46), a sheet of paper (s), and cover members (45/42). Hageman does not disclose that a single cover member extends linearly from an upstream portion to a downstream portion in which the sheet of paper is fed and the cover member is disposed between the sheet of paper and the roll of paper at a location in the sheet feeding area at which the roll of paper is in a rolled shape. Rather Hageman discloses two separate cover members (45, 42). Cover members (42) have a portion that extends linearly (42) that covers a first feeding path for the roll of paper from above and supports the sheet of paper from below. Additionally, cover members (45) have a portion between the sheet of paper (s) and the roll of paper (46) at a location in the sheet feeding area at which the roll of paper is in a rolled shape. However, Metzner discloses a single cover member (23) that extends linearly from an upstream portion to a downstream portion in which a sheet of paper is fed and the cover member is disposed between a sheet of paper and a feed pack at a location in the feed pack area for the purpose of continuously supporting the paper from the pack to the printing area. It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Hageman by utilizing a single cover member that

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/386,000

Art Unit: 3651

extends linearly from an upstream portion to a downstream portion in which a sheet of paper is fed and the cover member is disposed between a sheet of paper and a feed pack at a location in the feed pack area for the purpose of continuously supporting the paper from the roll to the printing area.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 16 and 18 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13, 15, and 17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick H. Mackey whose telephone number is (703) 308-0630. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 7:00 a.m. - 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on (703) 308-2560. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 308-2571 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 3651

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Patrick H. Mackey

Examiner Art Unit 3651

August 7, 2002